UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,441	10/02/2003	Anne-Marie Stomp	5051-337DVCT3	9042
20792 7590 06/22/2009 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 PALEICH, NO 27627			EXAMINER	
			ZHENG, LI	
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: ANNE-MARIE STOMP and NIRMALA RAJBHANDARI

Application 10/677,441 Technology Center 1600

Mailed: June 22, 2009

.

Before ERIC W. HAWTHORNE, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist HAWTHORNE, Supervisory Paralegal Specialist.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 12, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

EXAMINER'S ANSWER, HEADINGS

A review of the file indicates that the Examiner's Answer filed April 3, 2008 does not comply with the guidelines provided in MPEP § 1207.02 because it does not contain all of the required heading items. Specifically, the Examiner's Answer must contain the following heading items in the following order:

- (1) Real party in interest.
- (2) Related appeals and interferences.
- (3) Status of claims.
- (4) Status of amendments After Final.
- (5) Summary of claimed subject matter.
- (6) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.
- (7) Claims Appendix.
- (8) Evidence Relied Upon.
- (9) Grounds of Rejection.
- (10) Response to Argument.
- (11) Related Proceedings Appendix.

An in-depth review of the Examiner's Answer indicates that the following sections are missing from the Examiner's Answer mailed April 3, 2008 and/or are not complete or clear in setting forth agreement or disagreement with the Appeal Brief:

(1) Related Proceedings Appendix.

In addition, appropriate headings are missing from following sections:

- (1) Real party in interest.
- (2) Related appeals and interferences.
- (3) Status of claims.
- (4) Status of amendments After Final.
- (5) Summary of claimed subject matter.
- (6) Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.
- (7) Claims Appendix.
- (8) Evidence Relied Upon.

A substitute Examiner's Answer that is in compliance with the guidelines is required. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1207.02 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Appellant filed Information Disclosure Statements (IDS's) dated February 17, 2009, and June 19, 2009. There is no indication on the record that the Examiner has considered the above Information Disclosure Statements. MPEP § 609 requires the Examiner to consider any Information Disclosure Statement filed by Applicant if timely submitted. A written communication notifying Appellant of the Examiner's consideration of the above Information Disclosure Statements is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application be returned to the Examiner:

1) to vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed April 3, 2008;

Application 10/677,441

- 2) to generate a new Examiner's Answer in compliance with the guidelines;
- 3) to consider the Information Disclosure Statements dated February 17, 2009, and June 19, 2009; and
- 4) for such further action as may be required.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

EWH/msc

MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH NC 27627